

Sample Description, Instructions and Assessment Criteria for a Moodle Workshop Peer Evaluation Exercise

DESCRIPTION

The Peer Assessment has two stages:

1. Completion stage: answer the question set, making sure to pay attention to the specifics regarding what will be assessed.

This stage will last from Monday 9 October 11.00 (11 AM) until Wednesday 11 October at 17.00 (5 PM)

2. Peer Assessment Stage: assess other students' work using the criteria provided. You will be randomly assigned other students' anonymised submissions.

This stage will last from Wednesday 11 October at 17.30 (5.30 PM) until Friday 13 October at 17.00 (5 PM)

75% of your final grade will be an average of the three grades provided by your peers, and 25% of the grade will be based on the accuracy and quality of the reviews you give to other students..

NB: Please be accurate and fair, and follow the criteria provided.

At the end of the peer assessment stage, there is a space for comments and constructive criticism.

The lecturer will overview and spot check assessments to ensure proper practice.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMISSION

Here are the instructions for submission.

Please read this article: [We visited Google's new YouTube lab — here's what it's like inside](#)

The introduction to Jenkin's 'Convergence culture' (2006) is available via the [online reading list](#) or via the library.

After you have read the article and Jenkins's Introduction, please answer the following question by writing in the text box below:

Can the concept of 'convergence' help to explain why Google provides the YouTube Space in London?

Please answer in 350 words (excluding references), including a definition of 'convergence'.

You must use at least one quotation from Jenkins (2006), and one quotation from the BBC article linked above.

Submit your work by writing in the text box.

You are recommended to prepare your answer in a Word document, then copy and paste here.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ASSESSMENT

Here are the instructions for assessment.

You need to assess at least three other students' submitted assignments.

Read each one carefully, and assign the points according to the criteria provided.

You must also provide a comment at the end. Use this to give your opinion on the content and quality of the work. Please be honest, but remain in the spirit of constructive criticism.

Part of your grade will be based on the accuracy and quality of your feedback.

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

DEFINITION

Has the submitted assignment included a clear and accurate definition of 'Convergence'?

Choose from these three options

Yes, the definition was accurate and clear = 2 points

There was a definition, but it was not very accurate and/or clear = 1 point

There was no definition, OR, The definition was completely wrong = 0 points

DISCUSSION

Do you feel that the question was addressed properly, and discussed in a relevant manner?

This means that there was an answer to the question that used material from both sources effectively, and demonstrated an analytical understanding of the concepts used.

Choose from these three options:

Yes, there was a clear and relevant discussion that addressed the question in an excellent and interesting manner = 3 points

Yes, there was a good discussion that addressed the question in an effective manner = 2 points

The question was answered, but the discussion was limited = 1 point

The question was not answered properly, and the discussion was not relevant and/or insufficient = 0 points

You may leave a comment if you like, this is optional

CITATIONS AND EXAMPLES

Was there at least one citation from Jenkins, and one citation from the linked BBC article?

Choose from these three options

Yes, there was at least one relevant quote from each of the sources = 2 points

There was one relevant quote from one of the sources = 1 point

There were two quotes, but their relevance was not clear = 1 point

There were quotes, but they were not used in a manner that demonstrated understanding = 0 points

There were no quotes = 0 points

CITATIONS AND REFERENCING

Was the material properly cited?

This means that there was:

1. In-text citation(s) where relevant (i.e. following a direct quote or the use of material from another source).
2. The in-text citation(s) included a page number if it was for a direct quotation (unless there were no page numbers in the original source)
3. The full bibliographic details were provided at the end of the written piece
4. All of the above were done consistently using either a Harvard or the APA citation style

See here for more information on styles: <http://guides.lib.monash.edu/citing-referencing/>

The material was properly cited throughout and the references are accurate and complete = 2

There were citations and references, but there were mistakes and/or some were missing = 1

There were no citations and references, OR, there were many mistakes and missing aspects = 0